



Smt. Laveena Jindal Alias Laveena Bansal, (9855654796)

D/o Hukam Chand Jindal,
321, Ward No. 25, Mohalla Madhopuri,
Malerkotla - 148023

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o District Educational Officer (SE),
Sangrur.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director of Public Instruction (SE),
S.A.S Nagar (Mohali).

Appeal Case No. 3923 of 2019
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

Present: Appellant: Smt. Laveena Jindal
Respondent: Sh. Rakesh Kumar (Suptd.), 9915223600

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated **19.01.2022.**

2. **Written submission from respondent :** An email dated 09.05.2022 is received by the undersigned bench the relevant portion of which is reproduced below:

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਸਬੰਧੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਲਿਖਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਮਿਤੀ 19.01.2022 ਨੂੰ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਲਤ ਦੇ ਹੁਕਮਾਂ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪੈਨਲਟੀ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਅਤੇ ਸਬੰਧਤ ਅਪੀਲਕਰਤਾ ਨੂੰ ਕੰਪਨਸ਼ੇਸਨ ਦੀ ਰਕਮ ਦੀ ਅਦਾਇਗੀ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਹੈ। ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਸੇਵਾ ਵਿੱਚ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਅਮੈਂਡਡ ਐਫੀਡੈਵਿਟ ਫਾਇਲ ਕਰਨ ਲਈ ਇਸ ਦਫਤਰ ਨੂੰ 7 ਦਿਨ ਦੇਣ ਦੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਾਲਤਾ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾਵੇ ਜੀ ਤਾਂ ਜੋ ਦਫਤਰ ਦੇ ਰਿਕਾਰਡ ਅਨੁਸਾਰ ਬਣਦਾ ਅਮੈਂਡਡ ਐਫੀਡੈਵਿਟ ਤਿਆਰ ਕਰਕੇ ਫਾਇਲ ਕਰ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਜਾਵੇਗਾ ਜੀ।

3. Both the parties are present and heard.

4. Keeping in view the facts of the case and on the assurance of respondent authority this instant appeal case is **Disposed of** with the directions to respondent PIO, to file the said affidavit within 10 days from issue of this order. Failing to which action under section 20(1) of RTI act will be initiated. The appellant Ms. Laveena agreed on the same.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb.



Sh. Jasbir Singh, (9815397246)

S/o Sh. Harbans Singh,

R/o Village Jalal Khera, P.O Sular, Distt Patiala.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Distt Education Officer (SE),

Patiala.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o Distt Education Officer (SE),

Patiala.

Appeal Case No. 1152 of 2021

(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 16-09-2020

PIO replied on : -

First appeal filed on : 17-11-2020

First Appellate Authority order : -

Present: None

ORDER(Forth hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.01.2022.
2. Appellant remained absent for the fourth time in the hearing. Moreover, he has not made any submissions regarding the deficiencies.
3. Accordingly, the Commission presumes that he does not want to pursue this case anymore. Hence, the case is **disposed of and closed**. However, the liberty is granted to the appellant to approach the Commission within 30 days from the issue of this order in case of any further submissions.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb



Sh. Kuldeep Khan, (9855228756)

S/o Sh. Asgar Khan,
 Village Lachkani, P.O Lang, Distt Patiala.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
 Patiala.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
 Patiala.

Appeal Case No.1163 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	20-08-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	07-10-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: Appellant: Sh. Kuldeep Khan
 Respondent: Smt. Jaswinder Kaur

ORDER(Forth hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.01.2022.

Information Sought:

1) ਸੂਚਨਾ ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਕਿ 1-1-2019 ਤੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 20-8-2020 ਤੱਕ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਸਰੀਰ ਮਲੀਕ ਪਿੰਡ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਭੇਜ ਦਿੱਤਾ ਹੈ, ਜਿਸਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

2) ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

3) ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਪਿੰਡ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

4) ਮਿਤੀ 1-1-2019 ਤੋਂ ਮਿਤੀ 20-8-2020 ਤੱਕ ਪਿੰਡ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

5) ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਪਿੰਡ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

6) ਦਿੱਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ ਕਿ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਪਿੰਡ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ, ਜਿਸਦੇ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੇ ਸੇਵਾ ਮਨ ਨਗਰ ਦੀ ਸਮੀਖਿਆ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ।

2. Respondent, Smt. Jaswinder Kaur stated that the a requisite information has already been supplied to the appellant and no any other information is pending in the office record pertaining to this case. A copy of the same has been sent in the Commission for the ready reference.

Appeal Case No.1163 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

3. On other hand the appellant, Sh. Kuldeep Khan stated that no satisfactory reply/information has been provided to him so far by the respondent authority in this case.
4. In the view of above, the PIO is directed to submit an affidavit indicating therein the factual situation and affirming the position that the complete information sought by the appellant has been supplied to the best extent and what has been provided is true/ correct and no any other information is pending in the office record. This affidavit has to be sent within 20 days of the receipt of this order with a original copy duly endorsed to the appellant within the same time period.

The case is **Disposed of** accordingly.

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb



Sh. Pirlak Singh, (94633398390)

S/o Sh. Gurbachan Singh,
R/o Village Bonker Gujra, Distt Ludhiana.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Block Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana-2.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o District Development and Panchayat Officer,
Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No.1199 of 2021
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on	:	12-03-2020
PIO replied on	:	-
First appeal filed on	:	02-12-2020
First Appellate Authority order	:	-

Present: None

ORDER(Forth hearing):

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order of the Commission dated 19.01.2022.

Information Sought:

ਪਿੰਡ ਬੈਂਕਤ ਗੁੱਜਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਇਕੁਆਇਰ ਹੋਈ ਪੰਜਾਬੀਤੀ ਜ਼ਮੀਨ ਦੇ ਸੰਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੋਈ ਰਕਮ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ, ਵਿਆਜ, ਉਕਤ ਰਕਮ/ਵਿਆਜ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਗਏ ਕੁੱਲ ਖਰਚੇ, ਕੰਮ, ਖਰਚਿਆਂ ਦੇ ਬਿੱਲ, ਪਾਏ ਗਏ ਮਤੇ, 1/1/2019 ਤੋਂ ਹੁਣ ਤੱਕ ਦੇ ਪੰਜਾਇਤ ਟੰਡਾਂ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ, ਇਸ ਵਿੱਚੋਂ ਕੀਤੇ ਖਰਚੇ, ਬਿੱਲ, ਪਾਏ ਗਏ ਮਤਿਆਂ ਦੀ ਕਾਪੀਆਂ। ਪਿੰਡ ਬੈਂਕਤ ਗੁੱਜਰਾਂ ਦੀ ਪੰਜਾਇਤ ਦੇ ਸਾਰੇ ਬੈਂਕ ਖਾਤਿਆਂ, ਬੈਂਕ ਸਟੇਟਮੈਂਟਾਂ ਦਾ ਵੇਰਵਾ।

2. On telephone call the appellant Sh. Pirlak Singh stated that he does not want to pursue this case anymore.
Accordingly this case is CLOSED .

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb

.....Appellant/Complainant

.....Respondent

 $1/2$

Complaint Case No.133 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb

{Encl. RTI} First Appellate Authority
O/o District Treasury Officer,
Gurdaspur.



Sh. O.P Sharma, (9464184294)

Lane No. 2, Prem Nagar, College Road,
Near Happy Public School,
Pathankot – 145001

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Pathankot.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.137 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 29-09-2021
PIO replied on : 10-07-2021

Present: Complainant: Sh. O.P Sharma

Respondent: Sh. Ramesh Kumar (ASI), 9465640501

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 10.10.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No.137 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. O.P Sharma** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb

{Encl. RTI} First Appellate Authority
O/o IGP Border Range
Amritsar.



Sh. Mahinder Pal Singh, (9780063929)

S/o Sh. Deshraj Singh,
R/o Street Ram Lal Wali, Mohalla Guru Ka Khuh,
Tarn Taran.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.145 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 24-05-2021
PIO replied on : 15-06-2021

Present: Complainant: Sh. Mahinder Pal Singh (In person)
Respondent: Sh. Baljinder Singh (DSP), 9876019121

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 21.03.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No. 145 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Mahinder Pal Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb

{Encl. RTI} First Appellate Authority
O/o DIG, Ferozepur Range,
Ferozepur.



Sh. Mahinder Pal Singh, (9780063929)

S/o Sh. Deshraj Singh,
R/o Street Ram Lal Wali, Mohalla Guru Ka Khuh,
Tarn Taran.

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Tarn Taran.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.146 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 08-06-2021
PIO replied on : 10-07-2021

Present: Complainant: Sh. Mahinder Pal Singh (In person)
Respondent: Sh. Baljinder Singh (DSP), 9876019121

ORDER:

1. The Appellant/Complainant filed above mentioned appeal/complaint cases in the Commission dated 21.03.2022. Accordingly, the cases are fixed for today.

2. The complainant has approached the Commission under the provision of Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, in which no directions for providing further information can be given by the Commission.

The Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in its Order rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. 10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010) has held that while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information.

3. Since there is an alternative and efficacious remedy of first appeal available to the Complainant under Section 19(1) of the RTI Act, 2005, which has not been availed in the instant case and the First Appellate Authority has not had the occasion to review the decision of the PIO, as envisaged under the RTI Act by passing a detailed well reasoned speaking order.

4. If, however, the complainant does not feel satisfied with the decision of the First Appellate Authority, he will be at liberty to file a Second Appeal before the Commission under Section 19(3) of the RTI Act, 2005.

Complaint Case No. 146 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

5. In view of the observations noted above, the instant case is remanded to the concerned First Appellate Authority along with a copy of RTI application for their ready reference and is also directed to call the complainant **Sh. Mahinder Pal Singh** within 15 days of receipt of this order provide the information/reply pertaining to this RTI application. A compliance report of the same has to be sent in the commission. The appeal is disposed of accordingly, with the above observations and directions.

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

Sd/-
(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb

{Encl. RTI} First Appellate Authority
O/o DIG, Ferozepur Range,
Ferozepur.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864112, Email: - psic23@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Baljinder Singh, (8968963553)
S/o Sh. Gurmail Singh,
R/o Village Rupa, Tehsil Police Station,
Samrala, Distt Ludhiana - 141412

.....Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o Senior Superintendent of Police,
Khanna.

.....Respondent

Complaint Case No.150 of 2022
(Cisco Webex Proceedings)

RTI application filed on : 06-07-2021
PIO replied on : -

Present: Complainant: Sh. Baljinder Singh (In person)
Respondent: Absent.

Complainant, Sh. Baljinder Singh stated that he does not want to pursue this case anymore.

Accordingly, this case is **disposed of and closed**.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 09.05.2022

(Maninder Singh Patti)
State Information Commissioner, Pb